Lancashire County Council

Employment Committee

Friday, 29th September, 2017 at 2.00 pm in Cabinet Room 'C' - The Duke of Lancaster Room, County Hall, Preston

Agenda

Part I (Open to Press and Public)

No. Item

1. Apologies

2. Disclosure of Pecuniary and Non-Pecuniary Interests

Members are asked to consider any Pecuniary and Non-Pecuniary Interests they may have to disclose to the meeting in relation to matters under consideration on the Agenda.

3. Minutes of the Meeting held on 12 June 2017

(Pages 1 - 2)

To be confirmed, and signed by the chair.

4. Cessation of funding for full-time trade union secondees

(Pages 3 - 14)

5. Urgent Business

An item of urgent business may only be considered under this heading where, by reason of special circumstances to be recorded in the Minutes, the Chair of the meeting is of the opinion that the item should be considered at the meeting as a matter of urgency. Wherever possible, the Chief Executive should be given advance warning of any Member's intention to raise a matter under this heading.

6. Date of Next Meeting

The next meeting of the Committee will be held on Monday 9 October 2017 at 2pm at County Hall, Preston.



7. Exclusion of Press and Public

The Committee is asked to consider whether, under Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act, 1972, it considers that the public should be excluded from the meeting during consideration of the following items of business on the grounds that there would be a likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in the appropriate paragraph of Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act, 1972, as indicated against the heading to the item.

Part II (Not open to Press and Public)

8. Association of Educational Psychologists Dispute - (Pages 15 - 18) Business Mileage

(Not for publication – Exempt information as defined in Paragraph 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972. It is considered that in all the circumstances of the case the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information)

9. Senior Management Restructure

Report to follow.

(Not for publication – Exempt information as defined in Paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972. It is considered that in all the circumstances of the case the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information)

I Young Director of Governance, Finance and Public Services

County Hall Preston

Agenda Item 3

Lancashire County Council

Employment Committee

Minutes of the Meeting held on Monday, 12th June, 2017 at 2.00 pm in Cabinet Room 'C' - The Duke of Lancaster Room, County Hall, Preston

Present:

County Councillor Albert Atkinson (Chair)

County Councillors

A Ali D O'Toole L Beavers V Taylor M Green A Vincent

T Martin

County Councillor A Vincent replaced County Councillor G Driver at this meeting.

1. Apologies

None.

2. Disclosure of Pecuniary and Non-Pecuniary Interests

None.

3. Constitution, Membership and Terms of Reference

The Committee received a report on the membership and terms of reference of the Committee for 2017/18.

Resolved:

That:

- the appointment of County Councillors G Driver and A Atkinson as Chair and Deputy Chair respectively of the Employment Committee for the 2017/18 municipal year be noted.
- ii. the membership and terms of reference of the Employment Committee, as set out in the report, be noted.

4. Minutes of the Meeting held on 23 March 2017

Resolved: That the Minutes of the meeting held on 23 March 2017 be confirmed and signed by the Chair.

5. Urgent Business

None.

6. Date of Next Meeting

It was noted that the next meeting of the Committee would be held on Monday 10 July 2017 at 2.00 p.m. at County Hall, Preston.

7. Exclusion of Press and Public

Resolved: That the press and members of the public be excluded from the meeting during consideration of the following item of business on the grounds that there would be a likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in the appropriate paragraph of Part I of Schedule 12 A to the Local Government Act 1972. It was considered that in all the circumstances the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighed the public interest in disclosing the information.

8. Merger of Coronial Jurisdictions

(Exempt information as defined in Paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972. It was considered that in all the circumstances of the case the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighed the public interest in disclosing the information).

The Committee considered a report in relation to the proposed merger of Preston and West Lancashire, East Lancashire and Blackburn with Darwen coronial areas and the associated salary costs relating to the Senior Coroner.

Resolved:

That the recommendations, as set out in the report now presented, be approved.

I Young Director of Governance, Finance and Public Services

County Hall Preston

Agenda Item 4

Employment Committee

Meeting to be held on Monday, 29 September 2017

Electoral Division affected: (All Divisions);

Cessation of funding for full-time trade union secondees (Appendix 'A' refers)

Contact for further information:

Deborah Barrow, Tel: 01772 535482, Head of Human Resources,

Deborah.barrow@lancashire.gov.uk

Executive Summary

At its meeting held on the 13th July 2017, Cabinet asked for a report to be prepared for Employment Committee that sets out the arrangements and process to be applied should Council funding of the current full-time trade union secondees cease.

The report describes the current arrangements and the Council's statutory responsibilities together with revised arrangements and implications should funding cease. Currently the Council has agreed funding for trade union secondments until the 31st March 2018. It is proposed that if all funding ceases it is effective from the 1st April 2018. This would enable a transition period to ensure alternative arrangements are in place for on-going corporate negotiations and consultations and that employees returning to their substantive roles/services can be integrated back into the service whilst maintaining a workplace trade union representative role.

Recommendation

Employment Committee is asked to consider the report and decide on future funding arrangements in relation to the Trade Union Facilities Agreement.

Background and Advice

The Council's Agreement on Facilities and Time Off for Trade Union Duties and Activities, more commonly referred to as the Facilities Agreement, is an agreement between the Council and the following trade unions that the Council recognises:

- UNISON
- Unite
- GMB
- Soulbury Unions, which include:
 - National Union of Teachers
 - Education and Children's Services Group of Prospect
 - Association of Educational Psychologists



- National Association of Youth and Community Education Officers (now part of Prospect)

The Agreement is made in recognition of the relevant sections of the Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992 in relation to the law on time off for trade union learning or training activities and facilities for Union Learning Representatives.

It also recognises the requirements of the Safety Representatives and Safety Committees Regulations 1977, as amended by the Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999, which are made under the Health and Safety as Work etc. Act 1974.

The general purpose of the statutory provisions on time off for trade union duties and activities is to aid and improve the conduct of employee relations. The Facilities Agreement is written in accordance with the ACAS Code of Practice on Time Off for Trade Union Duties and Activities.

A separate agreement applies to schools.

Current membership numbers for both the Council and Schools of the recognised trade unions is set out below.

Unison – 9972 GMB – 744 Unite – 610 Soulbury – 74

Within the current Facilities Agreement, there are five full time trade union representatives seconded by the Council to undertake trade union duties in relation to activities of the Council. Four of the five secondments (three from UNISON, one from Unite) form part of the Facilities Agreement and the full-time secondees are funded by the Council. The fifth secondment, to the Lancashire UNISON Branch Secretary role, is funded by UNISON.

In addition to the full time secondments, and as a temporary additional resource to help support trade union members through the Council's transformation, the Employment Committee under the Urgent Business Procedure, in June 2014, agreed to the establishment of one additional Council funded full time UNISON secondment.

All trade union secondments are normally authorised for a period of 12 months and the posts established for this purpose are currently funded to 31 March 2018. The respective trade unions are responsible for the cost of all travelling and subsistence expenses for the duration of the secondment.

The Council also provides Unison with access to Room A42 in County Hall for administration activities. There are no trade union activities funded by the Council although free access to accommodation for trade union meetings is agreed from time to time on request.

Duties of Trade Union Seconded Representatives

The Council officers currently seconded to undertake the trade union representatives roles are engaged in duties that include:

- Providing advice and guidance to trade union members relating to recruitment and selection, discipline, grievance, capability and attendance issues, and terms and conditions of employment.
- Formal and informal consultation and negotiation.
- Restructures, reorganisations and redundancy consultation.
- Preparing for, and representing trade union members at formal hearings.

The UNISON Branch Secretary undertakes these general duties alongside being the main representative/lead contact between the Council and the trade unions, and managing the work and administration of the Lancashire UNISON branch.

Grading Level of Trade Union Seconded Representative Posts

A review of the grading level of the seconded officer posts was undertaken in 2015, benchmarking what the Council paid seconded officers for the duration of their trade union secondment against what other local government employers paid under similar arrangements.

The approach taken by the Council up to that point had been for seconded officers to retain the terms and conditions of employment associated with their substantive post, including their grading level and any contractual enhancements that applied to their substantive post, for the duration of their secondment. However, this had the potential for seconded officers to be paid differently for undertaking what were essentially the same duties and responsibilities and did not take into account the additional role specific duties that were undertaken by the UNISON Branch Secretary.

In order to ensure a more consistent pay approach going forwards and to mitigate against any equal pay claims, the Employment Committee in July 2015 agreed to apply a minimum grading level to the seconded officer roles, aligning the duties and responsibilities to the Grade 6 role profile for job evaluation purposes on the Lancashire Pay Spine, and a minimum grading level of Grade 7 for the UNISON Branch Secretary post. It was also agreed that where a seconded post holder was receiving a salary in excess of this, as their substantive post had been evaluated at a higher grade, they would continue to receive the grading level of their substantive post for the duration of the secondment in line with the existing pay arrangements set out in the Facilities Agreement.

As set out above, there is no statutory requirement for the Council to provide additional funding for trade union activity. Providing the Council allows reasonable paid time off for trade union representatives to be released from their workplace to undertake trade union activity it will be compliant with the Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992.

Therefore, full cessation of Council funding for full-time secondees will require alternative provision with the recognised trade unions. UNISON and Unite have been asked to consider whether they would fund any reduction in Council funding but have confirmed that they would not.

Should funding cease then all the secondees, with the exception of one, would return to their substantive posts in their service areas. One current secondee does not have a substantive post and would, therefore, be at risk of redundancy. The employee affected is currently funded by schools under the separate Facilities Agreement applied to schools. If this funding remains the employee would not be issued with notice of redundancy. The employees returning to their substantive roles may require induction and training to enable them to transition back into an operational role in addition to being a workplace unison representative.

To fulfil its statutory obligations, the Council would be expected to provide reasonable paid time off by releasing workplace representatives/stewards to undertake trade union activity and provide representation at a corporate level which would include the Corporate Joint Negotiation and Consultative Forum (JNCF) and other corporate consultations and negotiations.

Consultations

The recognised trade unions have been consulted and have asked the Employment Committee to maintain funding to support effective employee relations. The trade unions have submitted a collective response to any proposal to cease funding, which is attached at Appendix A.

Implications

UNISON may withdraw the funding it currently provides if the Council no longer provides any funding for full-time secondees. The Council will be required to ensure workplace representatives are able to be released from their role to attend corporate consultation and negotiation meetings, therefore the operational impact on services could increase.

Risk management

The recognised trade unions have expressed concern of the Council's commitment to maintain effective and productive employee relations.

Financial

The total annual budget provision for funding the Trade Union secondees is £153,682, therefore if funding is withdrawn this would be the value of the direct saving to the County Council. However, there will be indirect costs incurred as it will be expected that the County Council releases workplace representatives/stewards to undertake trade union activity and provide representation at a corporate level to fulfil its statutory obligations.

Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985 List of Background Papers

Paper	Date	Contact/Tel	
N/A			

Page 8	3
--------	---

<u>Trade Union response to the proposal to remove funding</u> <u>for trade union secondments</u>

This Joint Trade Unions make this submission to the wider report arising from Cabinet's resolution that; "officers be requested to bring a further report on the procedures necessary to remove all paid Trade Union officers".

The Joint Trade Unions understand that the rationale given is that the Lancashire County Council (LCC) should not fund full time trade union secondments at a time when LCC faces considerable financial pressures. The Joint Trade Unions understand that the Leader has stated that he is quite prepared to agree secondments but that the Trade Unions should fund them.

This submission puts to one side the obvious political and ideological discussion around Trade Unions and facility time and focuses on how industrial relations work in practice within LCC and the issues and risks that LCC will face if the secondments are removed. The Joint Trade Unions also wish to highlight the real benefits of facility time and secondments.

Statutory rights to paid facility time

There are three main trade union roles with statutory rights to time off and these are the traditional trade union workplace steward/rep, union learning reps and union health and safety reps. There are also some other legal time off rights where someone is representing a trade union.

An employer must give trade union representatives paid time off to carry out their trade union duties as per the Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992 (TULRCA). Examples of duties are;

- Negotiations with the employer;
- Functions which the employer has agreed may be performed by the trade union;
- Receiving information and being consulted on redundancies, business transfers or pensions changes;
- Training in industrial relations matters.

Time spent in negotiations/collective bargaining is set out in TULRCA as involving;

- Terms and conditions of employment or physical conditions of work;
- Recruitment, suspension, dismissal;
- Allocation of work;
- Discipline;
- Trade union membership or non membership;
- Facilities for trade union reps and officers;
- Procedural matters eg consultation.

Trade union side meetings are also an example of a trade union duty as union reps need to meet separately from management to discuss and share information. In addition to statutory

provision there is substantial case law which clarifies the right to paid time off and there is guidance set out in the ACAS Code of Practice.

Union health and safety reps have paid time off rights under the Health and Safety at Work Act 1974. Health and safety reps must be permitted time off under the Safety Representatives and Safety Committee Regulations 1977 (SRSCR). They have similar rights to time off as other representatives however the SRSCR defines safety reps as having "functions" rather than duties and an employer must permit them time off with pay "as shall be necessary".

This time off covers;

- attending meetings;
- undergoing training;
- investigating hazards and dangerous occurrences;
- investigating complaints and welfare at work;
- making representations to the employer.

There other matters set out within the SRSCR also. The Health and Safety Executive (HSE) also provide guidance that adds to the time that union health and safety reps need to take off.

Union learning reps (ULR) help open up learning opportunities for union members and supports them during the learning along with encouraging and developing a learning culture in companies and workplaces. ULR has a right to paid time off under TULRCA to carry out their duties. ULR duties involve analysing learning/training needs, arranging and promoting learning/training and consulting with the employer about these matters.

All reps have rights to time off when acting as a companion. The statutory right to be accompanied at a grievance or disciplinary hearing allows workers to request and have a union rep/officer as a companion. Paid time off used in this way by a rep is equivalent to a trade union duty and is part of facility time and the employer must permit a rep to take the paid time off. This extends beyond the hearing to meeting with the employee in advance for example.

There are also extensive statutory obligations on employers to consult when making collective redundancies under TULRCA. This consultation is with the trade unions and must be sufficient and meaningful with a view to reaching agreement. The employer must provide specified information to the trade unions and the employer must consider representations from union reps and reply to them. Reps need reasonable paid time off in order for this to be achieved and the rights for this are set out in TULRCA.

There are similar statutory obligations on an employer under the Transfer of Undertakings (Protection of Employment) Regulations. Here employers are required to inform and consult with representatives. Again paid time off is required to achieve this.

In addition following the recent decision of the Court of Appeal in UNISON, Vining & Ors v LB Wandsworth & the Secretary of State, trade unions have a right to be consulted under

article 11 of the European Convention on Human Rights on any workplace issue which affects their members.

Where reasonable paid time off is not granted claims can be brought in the employment tribunal and there is case law which expands upon the legislation as written. In addition where an employer fails to properly collectively consult over redundancies or TUPE transfers there exists a punitive measure called a protective award can be brought for each employee affected which can result in massive financial penalty to the employer of 90 days gross pay in collective redundancy situations or 13 weeks pay for transfers.

The Cost Argument

The Joint Trade Unions understand that the Leader has stated that he is quite prepared to agree secondments but that the Trade Unions should fund them particularly given the financial pressures facing LCC.

Trade union facility time is often described as a cost to the employer and in simple terms a cost can be associated with a member of staff being seconded as a "full time rep". There are two issues with that simplistic measure;

- it does not factor in the benefits of trade union facility time and the use of secondments brings to LCC, a matter which is elaborated upon elsewhere in this report; and
- 2. the seconded "full time reps" carry out duties which LCC would be obligated to grant paid time off for anyway.

Therefore removing the paid for trade union secondments would not generate the expected savings for LCC and would in reality create additional costs, a matter elaborated upon elsewhere in the report.

The Joint Trade Unions are not prepared to pay for trade union secondments when the employer has a statutory duty to grant paid time off anyway.

Benefits of facility time and trade union secondments to LCC

Notwithstanding that reps have a statutory right to paid time off as set out above there are benefits arising from paid facility time. None of the impact assessments into facility time conducted by Government have considered the benefits of facility time. The Trades Union Congress (TUC) has commissioned reports and analysis of the Government's own data from their Workplace Employment Relations Study (WERS).

One such TUC report by Bradford University from 2016 is included at appendix A. Key points to note from this report are;

- Research commissioned by the trade union UNISON found that facility time;
 - Improved workplace relations and helped build the reputation of the employer as a good place to work.
 - Union representation enabled earlier intervention in relation to complaints, grievances and disciplinaries, which stopped them escalating which was less

- costly to the employer and the taxpayer as a result of reduced staff and legal costs.
- Union reps enabled better communication with staff during restructuring and redundancy processes, which led to greater understanding of management's rationale for the changes, and reduced industrial action.
- In 2007 the then Department for Business Enterprise and Regulatory Reform (BERR

 now BIS Department for Business Innovation and Skills) found the following benefits from trade union facility time based on WERS data from 2004;
 - Dismissal rates were lower in unionised workplaces with union reps this resulted in savings related to recruitment costs of £107–213m pa.
 - Voluntary exit rates were lower in unionised workplaces with union reps, which again resulted in savings related to recruitment costs of £72–143m pa.
 - Employment tribunal cases were lower in unionised workplaces with union reps resulting in savings to government of £22–43m pa.
 - Workplace-related injuries were lower in unionised workplace with union reps resulting in savings to employers of £126–371m pa.
 - Workplace-related illnesses were lower in unionised workplace with union reps resulting in savings to employers of £45–207m pa.
- This gave £327-977m in savings across all sectors with around 60% being public sector equating to £223-586m pa.
- Updating this to 2014 figures to reflect the reduction in the size of the public sector and taking into account changes in real values gives a benefit of £250-674m to the public sector.
- Using the Taxpayers Alliance estimated total cost of public sector facility time (£108m in 2012-13) means that for every pound spent on facility time, the accrued benefits have a value of between £2.31 and £6.24.

There are clear benefits based on the Government's own data of paid trade union facility time in improving the working environment, promoting good and safe working practices free from discrimination and working with the employer to save jobs, protect services, retain skills and avoid compulsory redundancies.

Benefits of full time trade union secondments and the issues and risks if removed

There are clear benefits as evidenced above to trade union facility time in general. The cost of the secondments is a cost that LCC would ultimately incur anyway as trade union reps are entitled to paid time off to undertake duties as set out above. However the use of secondments brings further benefits and it is popular amongst many employers in both the public and private sector particularly in larger employers.

Full time trade union secondments are a highly efficient way of an employer dealing with and managing their statutory obligation to grant paid time off for trade union duties, particularly in an employer the size of LCC. It ensures that there are highly trained and knowledgeable union representatives available for LCC to work with to fulfil LCC's legal obligations. Secondments ensure there are reps available for meetings or hearings when LCC want or need them to happen. It allows for good and close working relationships to be built between the full time reps and officers which assists in resolving workplace issues at the earliest possible stage. This then saves the employer both the difficulty and cost of workplace issues escalating.

The Joint Trade Unions believe that there are currently good working relationships with LCC officers and the Joint Trade Unions have worked effectively and professionally with officers in dealing with LCC's recent transformation programme, which can be evidenced by the low number of compulsory redundancies and savings achieved to date.

Given the financial pressures and challenges that LCC face the Joint Trade Unions believe that LCC will need experienced and knowledgeable seconded trade union representatives to work with during inevitable restructures and re-organisations. Not having such representatives will present LCC with serious difficulty in meeting their legal obligations. Having to release representatives on an "as and when" basis would be an inefficient method to seek to consult with trade unions which would result in a lack of consistency and greater issues amongst the LCC workforce in the implementation of any reorganisations. It could also result in consultation not being meaningful and place LCC in legal jeopardy.

General day to day workforce and employment issues would also be more difficult for LCC to manage in the absence of seconded full time trade union reps. Currently a manager or HR can contact a full time seconded trade union representative whom they have a working relationship and in many instances can resolve potential employment problems before they become an issue. That would be lost if the secondments were removed.

There is a process currently to grant "as and when" facility time but it would need developing and improving as seconded trade union representatives currently do the majority of the trade union duties such as representation and consultations. Even if a useful system were developed there would be delays to consultation/negotiation meetings and disciplinary and grievance hearings in the absence of seconded trade union representatives as these would depend on the availability of reps and the release of reps from their service areas. This in turn could create issues and problems in service areas where reps may need to be regular granted facility time. It will likely also result in disputes about facility time itself and legal claims against LCC.

A further issue will be the employer will find it more difficult to comply with the facility time reporting and publication requirements detailed in the Trade Union Act. Retaining the secondments makes this obligation far simpler.

The Joint Trade Unions also expect that if the full time secondments are removed then this will result in a need for the Joint Trade Unions to retrain our existing representatives across LCC and recruit and train new representatives. This will be necessary to ensure there are representatives available when members need them but also when LCC need them too. Paid time off would have to be granted for a substantial number of representatives to be trained.

The Joint Trade Unions also wish to highlight that staff voluntarily join and a Trade Union to represent them in the workplace and with their employer. This helps create effective two way communications leading to a more engaged workforce. This is increasingly important given the ever greater pressures being placed on staff and services, particularly given the hard work of staff in keeping LCC services going.

In light of the above the following risks of removing the full time trade union secondments are highlighted;

- The desired savings will not be realised and it may actually increase costs;
- A worsening of industrial and employee relations;
- Disruption of day to day employee relations matters such as disciplinary hearings;
- Lack of staff engagement and consultation resulting in a less engaged and demotivated workforce;
- More workplace issues, disputes and accidents resulting in greater cost through more demand on officer time and increased litigation against LCC;
- Increased disputes and issues relating to requesting facility time itself, including increased claims brought against LCC at the employment tribunal;
- LCC struggling to meet their legal obligations to consult, including increased claims brought against LCC at the employment tribunal;

Conclusion

Given that removal of the full time trade union secondments is a false economy and will in all probability increase costs for LCC as well as creating a range of additional difficulties and risks, then at a time LCC is facing financial pressures it would be perverse and against basic logic to remove the full time trade union secondments.

Agenda Item 8 (NOT FOR PUBLICATION: By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972. It is considered that all the circumstances of the case the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information)

Document is Restricted